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INTRODUCTION
Thank you, Chairman Collins, Senator Casey, and members of the committee for your interest in social isolation and loneliness and for the opportunity to present testimony today. My name is Julianne Holt-Lunstad, and I am a professor of psychology and neuroscience at Brigham Young University. My research focuses on the influence of our social relationships on physical health outcomes. In my remarks, today, I’ll talk about the public health relevance of social isolation and loneliness, including data on prevalence rates, health and mortality risk, and potential risk factors.

Being connected to others socially is widely considered a fundamental human need—crucial to both well-being and survival. Extreme examples show infants in custodial care who lack human contact fail to thrive and often die\(^1\), and indeed social isolation or solitary confinement has been used as a form of punishment. Yet, an increasing portion of the U.S. population now experiences isolation regularly.

PREVALENCE
It is estimated that more than 8 million older adults are affected by isolation\(^2\). When we consider social connection more broadly—including the extent to which relationships are present in our lives, the extent others can be relied upon, and our satisfaction with them (see table 1)—the prevalence of US adults lacking social connection may be much larger.

- More than a quarter of the US population (28% of older adults) lives alone, over half the U.S. adult population is unmarried, and 1 in 5 have never married\(^3\).
- The divorce rate in the US is around 40% of first marriages and 70% for remarriages\(^4\).
- Among married couples, 3 in 10 relationships are severely distressed\(^5\).
- More than a third of U.S. adults over age 60 experience frequent or intense loneliness—higher than the prevalence of merely living alone\(^6\).
- The majority of American adults do not participate in social groups\(^7\).

Thus, there is evidence that a significant portion of the population, and older adults in particular, may be socially isolated.

There is also evidence that isolation (or social disconnection) is increasing:

- The average size of social networks has declined by one-third since 1985, social networks have become less diverse, and they are less likely to include non-family\(^7\).
- Average household size has decreased and there has been 10% increase in those living alone\(^4\).
- Census data also reveal trends in decreased marriage rates, fewer children per household, and increased rates of childlessness\(^4\).

Taken together with an aging population, smaller families and greater mobility reduces the ability to draw upon familial sources of support in old age\(^8,9\). Given that the incidence of loneliness is known to increase with age\(^10\), and that social (particularly friendship) networks
shrink with age, the prevalence of loneliness is estimated to increase with increased population aging. These trends suggest that Americans are becoming less socially connected.

**EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE OF PUBLIC HEALTH RELEVANCE**

To estimate the influence this has on longevity, or risk for premature mortality, my colleagues and I conducted 2 meta-analyses. The first meta-analysis examined the influence of social connections, including a variety of indicators (see table 1). Cumulative evidence from 148 different studies, including over 300,000 participants revealed that greater social connection is associated with a 50% reduced risk of early death. The second meta-analysis examined deficits in social connection (social isolation, loneliness, living alone). Cumulative evidence from 70 different studies, including over 3.4 million participants indicates that each have a significant and equivalent effect on risk for mortality—that exceeds the risk associated with obesity. These findings also account for potential alternative explanations (e.g., age and initial health status), and thus also rule out reverse causality. Together, these data demonstrate that social disconnection is indeed a severe problem.

The effect of social relationships can be benchmarked against other well-established lifestyle risk factors. As shown in Figure 1a, the magnitude of effect of social connection on mortality risk is comparable, and in many cases, exceeds that of other well-accepted risk factors, including smoking up to 15 cigarettes per day, obesity, and air pollution. Prevalence rates, or the proportion of the population affected, are also comparable with well-established risk factors (Figure 1b). Despite some variation across social indicators, there is a consistent and significant effect on mortality risk.

Social isolation has also been linked to a variety of mental and physical health outcomes. For example, those who are isolated are at increased risk for depression, cognitive decline, and dementia. There is also substantial evidence that social relationships can influence health related behaviors such as medication/treatment adherence, and have a direct influence on health-relevant physiology such as blood pressure, immune functioning, and inflammation.

**RISK FACTORS**

Can we identify those who are at greatest risk? It is important to note that the overall effect of lacking social connection on risk for mortality can be applied quite broadly—robust effects were found across age, gender, health status, and cause of death—and the prevalence occurs across age. Further, the protective effect of social connection or conversely the risk of disconnection is continuous—there is evidence that for every level of increase in isolation there is an increase in risk. Nevertheless, there are factors that may contribute to increased risk.

Risk factors include: living alone, being unmarried (single, divorced, widowed), no participation in social groups, fewer friends, strained relationships. Retirement, and physical impairments (e.g., mobility, hearing loss) may also increase risk for social isolation.
Social Isolation and Loneliness are particularly important among older adults. Chronic exposure to either protective or risk factors will be more pronounced as individuals age—thus, we are more likely to see the effects of lacking social connection in older adults. Further, there are a number of important life transitions among older adults that may result in disruptions or decreases in social connection (e.g., retirement, widowhood, children leaving home, age-related health problems). A growing body of research shows that health problems in adulthood and older age, stem from conditions earlier in life, suggesting the importance of preventative efforts\textsuperscript{9}.

CONCLUSION
There is robust evidence that lacking social connection/isolation significantly increases risk for premature mortality, and the magnitude of the risk exceeds many leading health indicators. The World Health Organization (WHO) explicitly recognizes the importance of social connections\textsuperscript{20}. Social isolation influences a significant portion of the US adult population and there is evidence the prevalence rates are increasing. With an increasing aging population, the effect on public health is only anticipated to increase. Indeed, many nations around the world now suggest we are facing a “loneliness epidemic”\textsuperscript{21-24}. The challenge we face now is what can be done about it.

I am very pleased to see the committee has recognized and is bringing attention to this important issue. I am happy to assist in advancing an agenda to address social isolation and loneliness among older adults. Thank you again for the opportunity to comment and I welcome your questions.
Table 1. Defining Social Connection

Social Connection

The extent to which an individual is socially connected takes a multifactorial approach including (1) connections to others via the existence of relationships and their roles; (2) a sense of connection that results from actual or perceived support or inclusion; and (3) the sense of connection to others that is based on positive and negative qualities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(1) Structural</strong></td>
<td><strong>The existence and interconnections among differing social relationships and roles</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td>Married vs. single, separated, divorced, widowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Networks</td>
<td>Network density or size, number of social contacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Integration</td>
<td>Participation in a broad range of social relationships; including active engagement in a variety of social activities or relationships, and a sense of communality and identification with one’s social roles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Alone</td>
<td>Living alone vs. living with others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Isolation</td>
<td>Pervasive lack of social contact or communication, participation in social activities, or confidant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(2) Functional</strong></td>
<td><strong>Functions provided or perceived to be available by social relationships</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received support</td>
<td>Self-reported receipt of emotional, informational, tangible, or belonging support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptions of social support</td>
<td>Perception of availability of emotional, informational, tangible, or belonging support if needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception of loneliness</td>
<td>Feelings of isolation, disconnectedness, and not belonging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(3) Quality</strong></td>
<td><strong>The positive and negative aspects of social relationships</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Quality</td>
<td>Subjective ratings of satisfaction, adjustment, cohesion in couples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship Strain</td>
<td>Subjective ratings of conflict, distress, or ambivalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social inclusion/exclusion</td>
<td>Feelings of belonging or rejection from others.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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