

1 MEDICARE ADVANTAGE:  
2 CHANGING NETWORKS AND EFFECTS ON CONSUMERS

3 - - -

4 THURSDAY, JANUARY 16, 2014

5 United States Senate,  
6 Special Committee on Aging,  
7 Hartford, Connecticut

8 The Committee met, pursuant to notice, at 2:00 p.m., in  
9 Room 2E, Legislative Office Building, 300 Capitol Avenue,  
10 Hon. Richard Blumenthal presiding.

11 Present: Senators Blumenthal and Whitehouse.

12 Also present: Senator Murphy.

13 OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR BLUMENTHAL

14 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you everyone for being here.

15 For those who may not have been outside and for the  
16 record, I want to thank Chairman Nelson of the Special  
17 Committee on Aging. I serve on it, and he has given us  
18 permission to be here today and to have this field hearing  
19 on a topic that I know is very, very important to the State  
20 of Connecticut and to the State of Rhode Island.

21 I want to welcome my colleague, Senator Murphy and  
22 Senator Sheldon Whitehouse of Rhode Island.

23 We have a panel of six really outstanding witnesses  
24 today, and I want to welcome them all here, especially those  
25 who made it to Hartford from Washington and Rhode Island.

1           And we think it is important to hold this hearing for a  
2   number of reasons. While we are seeing insurers decide to  
3   offer narrower networks, in an intent to reduce costs across  
4   the country, these decisions have a very dramatic impact  
5   here in Connecticut, where 2,250 providers were terminated  
6   with virtually no notice, and that termination affected  
7   about 61,000 patients under the Medicare Advantage program,  
8   about 43 percent of all the patients who have Medicare  
9   Advantage plans.

10          So we are here today to hear from the folks who can  
11   shed some light on what these sudden terminations mean for  
12   patients, in the midst of deciding whether they stay with  
13   their Medicare Advantage plans, and what options are  
14   available to them and what can be done to prevent this kind  
15   of abusive and, very likely, illegal action from happening  
16   again.

17          Right now, the terminations have been enjoined. There  
18   will be an appellate argument next week.

19          I have joined in that argument as a friend of the court  
20   in a brief that I filed because I feel so strongly, as do my  
21   colleagues, about the importance of this issue to people in  
22   Connecticut and people throughout the country.

23          I do not know whether Senator Murphy or Senator  
24   Whitehouse have any additional statements that they would  
25   like to make.

1 Senator Whitehouse?

2 OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR WHITEHOUSE

3 Senator Whitehouse. No. I just want to thank you both  
4 for your hospitality. It is good to be here in your state.  
5 Rhode Island, your eastern neighbor, has the same  
6 predicament with United.

7 And I am pleased to serve on the Aging Committee with  
8 Senator Blumenthal and on the Health, Education, Labor and  
9 Pensions Committee with Senator Murphy. And since both of  
10 those committees have a keen interest in this issue, it is a  
11 delight to be here.

12 They are also terrific colleagues. And, on this issue,  
13 people talk about Washington and who is a showhorse and who  
14 is a workhorse. You have two workhorses in the Connecticut  
15 Senate on health care issues. So it is a great honor for me  
16 to be here with both of them.

17 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you.

18 Senator Murphy?

19 OPENING STATEMENT OF SENATOR MURPHY

20 Senator Murphy. Thank you, Senator Blumenthal.

21 I just wanted to thank you for allowing me, as a non-  
22 Aging Committee member, to sit in on this hearing. But, as  
23 a member of the Health, Education, Labor and Pensions  
24 Committee, this is obviously an issue that we have  
25 jurisdiction over as well. So, really excited to be here.

1           This is a great panel, and I think what I hope that we  
2   will do here today is to examine both the immediate issue,  
3   which is of concern to thousands of Connecticut and Rhode  
4   Island residents, but also talk about the bigger picture  
5   because we do live in a world in which we are going to see  
6   the contraction and sometimes expansion, but certainly  
7   always change, in provider networks. And we have just got  
8   to sit together and figure out the best way to do that from  
9   a cost perspective, from a patient protection perspective  
10   and from a quality perspective.

11           Senator Blumenthal. And I should say that both Senator  
12   Murphy and Senator Whitehouse, along with myself, are  
13   members of a task force on health care delivery, which we  
14   have organized to look at these issues.

15           And Sheldon Whitehouse has been an advocate on these  
16   issues from well before I was in the Senate, and I want to  
17   thank him particularly for his leadership.

18           Let me introduce the witnesses that we have here today,  
19   with the first panel before us.

20           Stephanie Kanwit is a Senior Health Care Consultant in  
21   Washington, D.C., who currently serves as Special Counsel to  
22   America's Health Insurance Plans, AHIP, and the  
23   Pharmaceutical Care Management Association.

24           Prior to that, she served as General Counsel for AHIP  
25   and three stints as a partner in private law firms in D.C.

1 and Chicago--Chadwell and Kayser, Lamet Kanwit and Davis in  
2 Chicago, Epstein Becker and Green in Washington. And she  
3 also has served as Vice President of Health Litigation at  
4 Aetna here in Hartford.

5 Brian Biles comes to us from George Washington  
6 University School of Public Health and Health Service, where  
7 he is professor and Chair of the Department of Health  
8 Services Management and Policy.

9 Prior to his current position, he was Senior Vice  
10 President of the Commonwealth Fund and served for seven  
11 years as Staff Director of the Subcommittee on Health in the  
12 Committee on Ways and Means of the United States House of  
13 Representatives. He worked on the Health Subcommittees  
14 chaired by Representative Henry Waxman and Senator Edward  
15 Kennedy, two great heroes in health care advocacy.

16 And he has authored numerous papers. I am not going to  
17 go through the entire list.

18 But he has a master's degree in public health from  
19 Johns Hopkins University, and he received his doctor of  
20 medicine and bachelor of arts degrees with honors from the  
21 University of Kansas.

22 And I am told--I hope, reliably--that your wife is from  
23 Connecticut.

24 Judith Stein, another hero, is the founder and  
25 Executive Director of the Center for Medicare Advocacy.

1 Anybody who has been in this building, anybody who has any  
2 experience in health care in Connecticut knows of her  
3 extensive experience in developing and administering  
4 Medicare advocacy projects. She has been a champion of  
5 Medicare beneficiaries, producing educational materials,  
6 teaching and consulting.

7 She has been the lead counsel or co-counsel in numerous  
8 Federal class action and individual cases, challenging  
9 improper Medicare policies and denials. And I have been  
10 privileged to join with her when I served as attorney  
11 general in some of those actions.

12 She also was a delegate to the 2005 White House  
13 Conference on Aging and received the Connecticut Commission  
14 on Aging Agewise Advocate Award in 2007.

15 She graduated cum laude from Williams College and  
16 received her law degree with honors from Catholic University  
17 School of Law.

18 Dr. Michael Saffir is a practicing psychiatrist,  
19 specializing in physical medicine, rehabilitation and pain  
20 management. He practices at the Orthopedic Specialty group  
21 in Fairfield, Connecticut and is the Division Chief of  
22 Medicine and Rehabilitation in the Department of Medicine at  
23 St. Vincent's Medical Center in Bridgeport. He is also  
24 President of the Connecticut State Medical Society.

25 Did I get your specialty wrong?

1 Dr. Saffir. Physiatrist. Physical medicine  
2 rehabilitation.

3 Senator Blumenthal. Okay. Thank you.

4 And I am going to ask Senator Whitehouse to introduce  
5 Dr. Welch, who is from Rhode Island.

6 Senator Whitehouse. It is my great honor to have the  
7 opportunity to introduce Dr. Raymond Welch, who is a  
8 practicing physician in Rhode Island in the field of  
9 dermatology. He has been practicing in the Providence area  
10 for 28 years, focusing his work on the diagnosis and  
11 treatment of skin cancer. He is also an Assistant Clinical  
12 Professor at the Warren Alpert School of Medicine at Brown  
13 University.

14 He has a long record of recognitions. He was elected  
15 in 2007 to the Noah Worcester Dermatological Society. He is  
16 a member of the New England Dermatology Society, the Rhode  
17 Island Dermatology Society and the American Society of Laser  
18 Medicine and Surgery.

19 He is a graduate of Albany Medical College in New York,  
20 served his residency at Albany Medical Center Hospital and  
21 completed his dermatology residence at Duke University  
22 Medical Center.

23 We are delighted that he took the trouble to come from  
24 Rhode Island to be here and to share his perspective.

25 Thank you very much.

1 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you.

2 Why don't we--

3 Senator Whitehouse. Should we get into the record now  
4 about United and whether their being here or not here, they  
5 were at least invited?

6 Senator Blumenthal. Sheldon Whitehouse, Senator  
7 Whitehouse, makes the excellent point that I want to put on  
8 the record that UnitedHealthcare Group was invited. I did  
9 invite them to this hearing. They have declined to appear.  
10 Why don't we begin going from my left to right?  
11 And we will begin with you, Ms. Kanwit.



1           STATEMENT OF STEPHANIE KANWIT, PRINCIPAL, KANWIT  
2           HEALTHCARE CONSULTING, AND FORMER SPECIAL COUNSEL,  
3           AMERICA'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS

4           Ms. Kanwit. Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman  
5 Blumenthal and members of the Committee.

6           I am honored to be here in my home State of  
7 Connecticut. I am Stephanie Kanwit, and I am testifying  
8 today on behalf of America's Health Insurance Plans, known  
9 as AHIP.

10          I appreciate this opportunity to testify on issues  
11 surrounding provider networks in the Medicare Advantage  
12 Program and the strategies our members are employing in this  
13 area to hold down costs and, at the same time, improve value  
14 for their enrollees.

15          Health plans in the Medicare Advantage, MA, program  
16 have a strong track record of offering high-quality coverage  
17 options with innovative programs and services for both  
18 seniors and individuals with disabilities. As emphasized in  
19 our written testimony, one strategy that plans are  
20 pioneering involves the use of high-value provider networks  
21 along with programs that encourage enrollees to obtain care  
22 from providers who have demonstrated, based on performance,  
23 metrics, their ability to deliver high-quality and cost-  
24 effective care. And those are the keys.

25          Our written testimony focuses on three broad areas:

1 First, background on the MA program, including the  
2 value it delivers to beneficiaries.

3 Second, as the MA program faces a future of severe  
4 underfunding, we discuss the opportunity for these high-  
5 value provider networks I mentioned to preserve benefits and  
6 mitigate the cost impact on the MA beneficiaries.

7 And, three, we focus on the leadership role that health  
8 plans are playing in advancing delivery system reforms.

9 So, just some quick background. More than 14.5 million  
10 seniors in the United States and people with disabilities,  
11 about 28 percent of the Medicare population, currently are  
12 enrolled in MA plans.

13 And, Senator Whitehouse, that is higher in Rhode  
14 Island. It is about 35 percent.

15 Why? Because they value the care coordination and  
16 disease management activities, improved quality of care and  
17 innovative services and benefits that are available through  
18 these plans.

19 Now MA plans offer a different approach to health care  
20 delivery than beneficiaries experience under the regular  
21 Medicare fee-for-service, FFS, program. They have developed  
22 systems of coordinated care--key word, coordinated--for  
23 ensuring that beneficiaries receive health care services on  
24 a timely basis while also emphasizing prevention and  
25 providing access to disease management services for chronic

1 conditions. These coordinated services and systems provide  
2 for the seamless delivery of health care across the  
3 continuum.

4 So we are talking physician services, hospital care,  
5 prescription drugs and other health care services, all  
6 integrated and delivered through an organized system. The  
7 overriding purpose is to prevent illness, manage chronic  
8 conditions, improve health status and swiftly treat medical  
9 conditions as they occur rather than waiting until they have  
10 advanced to a more serious state.

11 So the key question is this: Have they been  
12 successful?

13 And the answer is yes.

14 First, we know that because survey findings show that  
15 MA enrollees are highly, highly satisfied with their health  
16 plans--90 percent, plus.

17 Secondly, we know that because research findings  
18 consistently demonstrate that MA plans have better health  
19 outcomes and beneficiaries receive higher-quality care than  
20 their counterparts in the Medicare FFS program.

21 The value that MA enrollees receive through their plans  
22 can also be seen in the additional services and benefits  
23 that are offered--services and benefits that are not offered  
24 in the Medicare fee-for-service program. Although these  
25 vary from plan to plan, these typically include case

1 management, disease management, wellness and prevention  
2 programs, prescription drug management tools, nurse help  
3 hotlines, and vision, hearing and dental benefits.

4 MA plans also protect beneficiaries from high out-of-  
5 pocket costs, and this year, in 2014, all MA plans are going  
6 to offer an out-of-pocket maximum for beneficiary costs.

7 Another important feature of MA programs is enrollees  
8 have strong consumer protections, and this includes  
9 extensive network adequacy standards, which ensure that MA  
10 enrollees have access to all provider types, including  
11 primary care physician as well as specialists within a  
12 reasonable time and distance from their homes.

13 CMS works with MA plans when network changes are made  
14 to ensure that beneficiaries continue to have access to the  
15 benefits and services they need.

16 But we are deeply concerned that the MA program is  
17 facing a future of severe underfunding that jeopardizes the  
18 stability of these plans.

19 The Affordable Care Act, the health reform law, ACA,  
20 imposes more than \$200 billion in funding cuts on MA over a  
21 10-year program. Through last month, December of 2013, only  
22 10 percent of those cuts had gone into effect, but another  
23 35 percent will be phased in between 2014 and 2016. So they  
24 are back-loaded.

25 On top of those cuts, MA enrollees are impacted by the

1 new ACA health insurance tax that went into effect on  
2 January 1st, 2014.

3 Now facing such a challenging budgetary environment, MA  
4 plans are working hard to maintain access to high-value  
5 benefits and services for their enrollees, but we have  
6 serious concerns, as I mentioned, about the underfunding of  
7 the MA program as ACA cuts are phased in at an increasingly  
8 faster rate over the next several years.

9 The need is greater now than ever before for  
10 innovations that deliver increased values to beneficiaries  
11 with increasingly limited resources that are available to  
12 support the MA program.

13 And, in response to that challenge, MA plans are  
14 working hard to preserve benefits and improve quality for  
15 enrollees by developing what I mentioned previously--high-  
16 value provider networks.

17 What are high-value provider networks?

18 Health plans typically develop these networks using  
19 performance metrics, with a strong emphasis on quality  
20 criteria, to select high-performing, cost-effective  
21 providers, using widely recognized, evidence-based measures  
22 of provider performance such as those endorsed by the  
23 National Quality Forum. Health plans can create select or  
24 tiered networks of providers comprised of clinicians and  
25 facilities that score well on measures of efficiency and

1 quality.

2 Now a central goal of these high-value provider  
3 networks, including those offered by MA plans, is to improve  
4 health care quality and efficiency through ongoing  
5 evaluation of provider performance, assessment of resource  
6 use, referrals to other high-performing providers and the  
7 exchange of health information with the plan and other  
8 providers caring for the same patients; so, that kind of  
9 coordination.

10 Critically, these high-value provider networks create  
11 strong incentives for providers to offer competitive prices  
12 in response to the increased number of patients they gain as  
13 a member of the network. And this, in turn, enables the  
14 health plans to deliver substantial savings to their  
15 enrollees in addition to connecting them to high-quality  
16 providers.

17 I want to thank you for considering our views on these  
18 important issues.

19 We look forward to working with Congress to strengthen  
20 and preserve the MA program. And, to achieve this goal, we  
21 urge you to help ensure that funding for the MA program is  
22 stabilized and that MA plans have the flexibility to advance  
23 high-value provider networks and other innovations that  
24 promote quality and efficiency for Medicare beneficiaries.

25 Thank you.

1 [The prepared statement of Ms. Kanwit follows:]

RAW TRANSCRIPT NOT TO BE QUOTED

1 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you very much.

2 Professor?

RAW TRANSCRIPT NOT TO BE QUOTED



1                   STATEMENT BRIAN BILES, PROFESSOR, GEORGE  
2                   WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

3           Mr. Biles. Thank you very much, Senator Blumenthal,  
4   Senator Whitehouse, Senator Murphy, for convening this  
5   hearing on what is really a new and very important issue.

6           I would note that my wife, in fact, did grow up in  
7   Easton, where her great grandparents moved from Slovakia in  
8   the 1880s to take over some of the farmland in that area.

9           Senator Blumenthal. Not a lot of farmland left in  
10   Easton.

11          Mr. Biles. Not a lot. It is all--as you well know  
12   Easton.

13          The focus of this hearing--I think, it could be termed  
14   network narrowing of physicians by UnitedHealthcare's  
15   Medicare Advantage plans--is important now both in  
16   Connecticut and Rhode Island, and nationwide, and it is  
17   certainly to become more important in the years ahead, which  
18   I think is why this is such an important discussion. New  
19   Medicare policies to address the situation will be  
20   important, particularly to elderly and disabled  
21   beneficiaries.

22          The focus of today's hearing is United Healthcare's  
23   recent action, and a special concern regarding United's  
24   announcement is when it occurred and particularly occurred  
25   after the beginning of the Medicare beneficiary open

1 enrollment period that began on October 15th and ran until  
2 December 7th.

3 And I think if I were to focus on one area it is the  
4 lack of advance notice. I do not know whether it is too  
5 strong to say this is an example of bait and switch, but  
6 clearly, elderly, disabled beneficiaries went through an  
7 open enrollment period before all of this was clearly  
8 understood and they could take action in response.

9 The term, network narrowing, has been described a  
10 reduction in the number of physicians participating in  
11 managed care plans, and I will focus today in five areas.

12 First, the point is that Medicare beneficiaries always  
13 have the option to be covered by traditional Medicare, which  
14 has the broadest network, of course, of any health plan and  
15 any health insurance program the country.

16 Second, again, the managed care network narrowing that  
17 we see in Connecticut is neither new nor limited to  
18 Medicare.

19 Three, Medicare--and this is a particularly important  
20 issue--has been paying private plans more than it costs in  
21 traditional Medicare fee-for-service for beneficiaries  
22 enrolled in the plan. Our research found that extra  
23 payments--payments in addition to costs in Medicare,  
24 traditional Medicare--in 2009 averaged 14 percent, \$1,100  
25 per enrollee and a total of over \$12 billion.

1 Fourth, as payments are reduced, the plans with  
2 policies have been mentioned in the ACA. To reduce these  
3 extra overpayments, it is clear that plans will accommodate  
4 and adopt more efficient and effective ways to provide care,  
5 including physician networks.

6 And so my fifth point then is policies that protect  
7 Medicare beneficiaries, as plans develop narrow networks,  
8 are important at this time.

9 To elaborate a bit, the most important point relative  
10 to changes is the underlying fact that beneficiaries must  
11 always choose to be covered by, and receive care from, plans  
12 rather than the traditional Medicare program.

13 We have studies from MedPAC, which indicate that  
14 Medicare beneficiaries in traditional Medicare have very  
15 broad access to physicians and are quite satisfied with that  
16 care. One study found that in spite of the general shortage  
17 of primary care physicians, less than 2 percent of Medicare  
18 beneficiaries in traditional Medicare reported a major  
19 problem finding a primary care physician.

20 So there is--if you want to view it as--a fallback of a  
21 safety net, and that is where almost 75 percent of the  
22 Medicare beneficiaries are today.

23 The second point, of course, is that managed care plans  
24 with limited or narrow networks are neither new nor limited  
25 to Medicare.

1           If we go all the way back to the 1970s, President Nixon  
2   and Senator Kennedy developed the Medicare Assistance Act.  
3   That was all based on Kaiser Permanente, and the entire  
4   premise was that plans would have narrow networks. They  
5   could be efficient, they could manage for care, and as a  
6   result, could provide care both in a less expensive, but  
7   also more effective, manner.

8           We have seen over the years, particularly in the 1990s,  
9   on one hand, a national movement toward plans with narrower  
10   networks followed by a response. And then as the recession  
11   eased, the economy became robust and employers had more  
12   robust, moving to much broader networks.

13          If we then turn to the next point, which is that plans  
14   have been paid more in traditional Medicare over the past,  
15   since 2006. We find that Medicare Advantage, the Medicare  
16   Modernization Act, the prescription drug bill in 2003,  
17   implemented in 2006, paid all plans in the Nation more than  
18   costs in fee-for-service in the same county. And, again,  
19   the average was 14 percent, \$1,100 in 2009.

20          The fourth point, of course, is in the ACA, as a  
21   general effort to reduce costs to Medicare and in health  
22   care, that included policies to reduce payments to hospitals  
23   and other providers, these extra additional payments to  
24   Medicare Advantage plans were gradually phased out through  
25   the year 2017. And our modeling indicates that by 2017

1 plans will be paid an average of 101 percent of costs in the  
2 same county.

3 And history and current plan practices suggest that  
4 changes by Medicare Advantage plans to accommodate this  
5 gradual phase-down of these extra payments will likely  
6 include some network narrowing. So I think that is built  
7 into the system. I think it is expected.

8 But I think the most important point of today's hearing  
9 is that since this is a new trend or event in Medicare that  
10 there is a need for new policies, and I think those  
11 particularly get to advance notice to beneficiaries.

12 And, particularly, there is something called the  
13 advance notice of changes, which is due on September 30th,  
14 that right now only focuses on benefits and out-of-pocket  
15 costs and does not include any mention of changes in  
16 networks. So, if any changes in networks were included in  
17 that September 30th, notice with the open enrollment period  
18 running from October 15th to December 7th, I think that  
19 would give beneficiaries the notice they need and the time  
20 to decide a new plan--for example, in New Haven, the Aetna  
21 plan--or perhaps to shift back to traditional Medicare.

22 We might also note if you pick that December [sic] 30th  
23 date, then plans would be negotiating with physicians. And  
24 I do think there is both not only the beneficiary point of  
25 view but the physician point of view, but that plans need to

1 engage in that discussion and negotiation then much earlier  
2 in the year in order to provide the adequate notice to  
3 beneficiaries.

4       So I think in conclusion that there is a broad  
5 background to the issue that suggests that network narrowing  
6 is reasonable--it has certainly been historically understood  
7 and accepted--but that as we move from these, again, \$1,100  
8 a year extra payments to plans to something closer to costs  
9 in traditional Medicare, that new policies dealing mostly  
10 and foremost with beneficiaries, but also with physicians,  
11 are needed at this time.

12       So thank you very much.

13       [The prepared statement of Mr. Biles follows:]

1 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you very much.

2 Judith Stein.

RAW TRANSCRIPT NOT TO BE QUOTED

1                   STATEMENT OF JUDITH STEIN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR,  
2                   CENTER FOR MEDICARE ADVOCACY

3           Ms. Stein. Thank you very much for holding this  
4 hearing, Senator Blumenthal, and for coming back home, and  
5 the same to Senator Murphy.

6           And I mentioned to Senator Whitehouse that in addition  
7 to having longstanding alliances with Senators Murphy and  
8 Blumenthal, I have a family of my daughter, son-in-law and  
9 children in Providence, Rhode Island, both of who went to  
10 Brown.

11           So it is really wonderful to have you here today.

12           Senator Whitehouse. Which we take terribly seriously.  
13 So thank you for mentioning that.

14           Ms. Stein. As you know, I am the founder and Executive  
15 Director of the Center for Medicare Advocacy, which I  
16 founded in 1986, after having done elder and health care law  
17 at Connecticut Legal Services for 10 years.

18           The center is a private, nonprofit organization. I  
19 think it is the only organization in the country that can  
20 boast it is based on the quiet corner of Connecticut and has  
21 a satellite office in Washington, D.C. We are in Mansfield,  
22 Connecticut, and we serve the entire state and also hear  
23 from people, and try and advocate as best we can, from those  
24 all over the country.

25           The center provides education and legal assistance to



1 advance fair access to Medicare and quality health care for  
2 Medicare beneficiaries throughout the country and  
3 Connecticut. We represent Medicare beneficiaries, respond  
4 to over 7,000 calls and e-mails annually, host web sites,  
5 webinars, publish a weekly electronic and quarterly print  
6 newsletter, and provide materials, education and expert  
7 support for Connecticut's CHOICES program.

8 I am also proudly a member of the executive committee  
9 of the Connecticut Elder Action Network formed and hosted by  
10 the Connecticut Commission on Aging.

11 We are an unusual organization in the country in that  
12 there are not too many of us who represent Medicare  
13 beneficiaries. And, as a consequence, we also formed and  
14 host the National Medicare Advocates Alliance, where some  
15 few dozen of us meet regularly, and the center provides  
16 issue briefs to keep people abreast of Medicare issues and  
17 how to help low and middle-income, chronically ill, elder  
18 and disabled people.

19 As you know and as the reason for our hearing today, in  
20 2013, UnitedHealthcare jettisoned approximately 2,250  
21 providers and health care facilities from its Connecticut  
22 Medicare Advantage network--2,250. That is a huge number,  
23 particularly in this small state--about 1 physician or  
24 hospital or nursing home or other health care provider lost  
25 for every 27 people in the United network in the state and

1 for every 260 Medicare Connecticut beneficiaries. Neither  
2 physicians nor Medicare patients were given adequate notice  
3 of this extraordinary decision.

4 As the 2013 Medicare enrollment period and year came to  
5 a close, many older and disabled people enrolled in a  
6 UnitedHealthcare Medicare Advantage plan learned that their  
7 doctors or local hospital would not be available to them in  
8 United's reduced Medicare Advantage network in 2014.

9 We began to receive calls at the center from people who  
10 had heard this news and were frightened, from our friends at  
11 the Connecticut Medical Society, from our friends in all the  
12 offices of our very fine congressional delegation.

13 On December 7th, I presented at a meeting held by Rosa  
14 DeLauro, Congresswoman from the Greater New Haven area in  
15 Wallingford. When we had a Q&A, about 25 percent, maybe 30,  
16 of the questions asked by the 150 people on Medicare in the  
17 audience were about their UnitedHealthcare problems.

18 Many others did not learn until after the new year.

19 Others will not learn--and this is very important--  
20 until they seek medical care in 2014. Only then will they  
21 find that their doctor or other health care provider is no  
22 longer in their Medicare plan.

23 In fact, we have been asked why CMS is not hearing  
24 about this problem, and I think the answer is two-fold.

25 How would people know to contact CMS? Who is and what

1 is CMS from the point of view of the older and disabled  
2 people who rely on Medicare, and their families? How do  
3 they know where to call? And I can tell you 1-800-MEDICARE  
4 is not the place.

5 Secondly, as I indicated and as others have noted,  
6 many, many people will not know about this until they seek  
7 medical assistance into the year. That is when we know,  
8 historically, we find people calling us about Medicare  
9 Advantage and Medicare regularly.

10 Many people think that Medicare Advantage means that  
11 they have an advantage to their regular Medicare, that it is  
12 something on top of their Medicare.

13 Under ordinary circumstances, we often get calls after  
14 February or March from people who cannot get health care  
15 from their traditional doctor.

16 One client of ours and his family learned about the  
17 United network cut only when health care was urgently  
18 needed. Susan W. called the Center for Medicare Advocacy on  
19 behalf of her parents who are both in their 80s.

20 Mr. W. had a stroke in 2013, with bleeding in his  
21 brain. He was helicoptered from his local hospital to Yale-  
22 New Haven due to the complexity of his condition. Now he is  
23 finding in the middle of his care that his medical and  
24 rehabilitation needs are severely limited and further  
25 complicated by the United Medicare Advantage network cuts.

1           His longtime primary care doctor is no longer in-  
2 network. And I echo the comments of the good doctors--that  
3 that is the relationship that matters to people.

4           And his local hospital is no longer in United's  
5 Medicare Advantage network. He must travel farther to  
6 another unknown hospital, farther from his elderly wife, and  
7 find a new doctor in the midst of getting care for a stroke.

8           Most importantly, he cannot obtain the nursing care or  
9 rehabilitation he needs at the nursing home closest to his  
10 wife and community since it, too, has been cut from United's  
11 Medicare Advantage plan.

12           As with many Medicare beneficiaries, Mr. W has long  
13 been in tradition Medicare with supplemental Medigap  
14 coverage, but he switched to United's Medicare Advantage  
15 plan in 2011, like my uncle, because it was less expensive.  
16 This worked until he became ill and United exercised its  
17 business prerogative to severely reduce providers from its  
18 Medicare Advantage network.

19           We know we will hear at the center from many other  
20 people like Mr. W and his daughter as the year proceeds and  
21 they need health care, but their providers, their doctor,  
22 their hospital, their nursing home, in some instances, their  
23 home care agency are found to no longer be in the Medicare  
24 Advantage network.

25           United's health care actions would be bold in the

1 private health insurance market. They should not be  
2 tolerated in the public Medicare arena. All Medicare  
3 Advantage plans, including United, as Professor Biles just  
4 testified, are paid more--more--by taxpayers than it would  
5 cost to provide the same coverage in traditional Medicare.

6 And, while I respect my colleague from AHIP, I have  
7 yet, over my 30-plus decades [sic] of doing this work, to  
8 find one of these plans regularly providing coordinated  
9 care. In fact, not only has my 92-year-old uncle just had  
10 terrible problems with his Medicare Advantage plan, with no  
11 coordination of care, but we often find that, despite the  
12 public funding being more than that which would be necessary  
13 for people getting the same care in traditional Medicare,  
14 Medicare Advantage plans often provide less when people are  
15 truly ill.

16 United owes its Medicare enrollees and providers at  
17 least timely notice and a fair remedy when significant  
18 network reductions like these are planned. It owes its  
19 Medicare enrollees and taxpayers a truly adequate array of  
20 providers when it is receiving public funds--robust  
21 payments. It should not be able to enroll Medicare  
22 beneficiaries one year only to decimate its network the  
23 next.

24 So what protections can be put in place?

25 First, for current United enrollees like Mr. W, who

1 have been hurt by provider cuts, they should receive help.

2 Further Congress should act so that such severe network  
3 reductions do not happen in the future. Accordingly, the  
4 Center for Medicare Advocacy recommends the following:

5 First, to protect current UnitedHealthcare Medicare  
6 Advantage enrollees--and we know this is happening in other  
7 states; New York, Rhode Island, Florida--require  
8 UnitedHealthcare, because it is receiving robust public  
9 funding, to pay the in-network rate on behalf of individuals  
10 such as our client, Mr. W., who cannot find the quality care  
11 they anticipated in-network.

12 Second, provide a special enrollment period for  
13 UnitedHealthcare Medicare Advantage enrollees so that they  
14 can either change to another Medicare Advantage plan or  
15 reenter traditional Medicare and receive the care from all  
16 of the network available to them.

17 Third, require UnitedHealthcare to provide quality  
18 transition services to enrollees such as Mr. W., who are in  
19 the middle of treatment, so that they are--and also, the  
20 gentleman who testified--spoke to the press this morning--so  
21 that they can limit the disruption of their health care.  
22 That gentleman and Mr. W should be able to continue their  
23 care with the providers they know and who have been treating  
24 their very desperate medical situations.

25 Secondly, how can we protect future Medicare Advantage

1 enrollees from what we are hearing are expected future  
2 network cuts because the plans will no longer be getting 14  
3 percent more? That is what ACA did. It started to scale  
4 back paying 14 percent more to private plans to be in the  
5 system.

6 Now they can be in the system. But, why should  
7 taxpayers and all Medicare enrollees be paying what was  
8 about \$150 billion over 10 years additional Medicare  
9 Advantage plans than would be necessary in traditional  
10 Medicare?

11 Require Medicare Advantage plans to provide notice, at  
12 least, I said, 60 days, but the notice that Professor Biles  
13 suggested in the ANOC, the notice that goes out, of change,  
14 on September 30th would also do, when more than a certain  
15 percentage of providers are to be cut from a Medicare  
16 Advantage plan—significant advance notice prior to the  
17 beginning of the enrollment period on October 15th.

18 Review the definition of an adequate Medicare Advantage  
19 network, to ensure all necessary services are available  
20 within a truly reasonable geographic area. Norwalk, as we  
21 know her in Connecticut, is not truly a reasonable  
22 geographic area for a gentleman with end-stage renal disease  
23 to get to the care he needs when he lives in Bridgeport.

24 Limit the percentage of each kind of provider a  
25 Medicare Advantage plan may cut from its network.

1           Require Medicare Advantage plans to pay as if an  
2   enrollee's provider was in-network if the plan is determined  
3   by CMS to have unreasonably reduced its Medicare Advantage  
4   providers.

5           Provide a special enrollment period for Medicare  
6   Advantage enrollees to change Medicare Advantage plans or  
7   reenter traditional Medicare if their plan is determined to  
8   have unreasonably reduced its provider network.

9           Importantly, level the playing field between the two  
10   Medicare models. For example, include a prescription drug  
11   benefit in traditional Medicare and identify other  
12   incentives in the Medicare Advantage program that entice  
13   beneficiaries to migrate from traditional Medicare to  
14   Medicare Advantage, and these were really put in place in  
15   the law that was passed in 2003.

16          Retain reasonably priced first-dollar Medigap coverage.  
17   I know this will be before you, Senators, in budget cuts  
18   that you will be looking at, and there is this notion that  
19   people should buy Medigap coverage but pay out of pocket  
20   before it comes into effect. This will further push people  
21   to Medicare Advantage.

22          As is the case in Connecticut and some other states,  
23   make it a Federal requirement that Medigap insurance offer  
24   enrollment. Wider access to Medigap will give Medicare  
25   Advantage enrollees more flexibility to return to



1 traditional Medicare if their Advantage plan no longer meets  
2 their healthcare needs.

3 In conclusion, Connecticut's older and disabled  
4 community, and our Nation's older and disabled community,  
5 deserve better treatment than they have received from  
6 UnitedHealthcare's Medicare Advantage plan. This kind of  
7 behavior should not happen again, and Medicare beneficiaries  
8 caught in this year's dramatic network cuts should be  
9 helped.

10 Thank you for holding this hearing and for giving me  
11 the opportunity to testify.

12 Please let me know if the Center for Medicare Advocacy  
13 can do anything further to help.

14 [The prepared statement of Ms. Stein follows:]

1           Senator Blumenthal. Thank you very, very much.

2           I want to assure, by the way, all the witnesses that  
3 your full statements will be in the record. We are going to  
4 make them a part of the record, without objection.

5           And let me turn now to Dr. Saffir.

RAW TRANSCRIPT NOT TO BE QUOTED

1 STATEMENT OF MICHAEL SAFFIR, M.D., PHYSIATRIST AND  
2 PRESIDENT, CONNECTICUT STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY

3 Dr. Saffir. Thank you, Senator Blumenthal and Senator  
4 Whitehouse.

5 I would like to commend you, sir, on the  
6 recommendations that you have put together. They are very  
7 pointed and successful.

8 Good morning. I am Dr. Saffir. I am board-certified  
9 physiatrist in pain and sports medicine with the Orthopedic  
10 Specialty Group in Fairfield. I am the President for the  
11 Connecticut State Medical Society, representing more than  
12 6,000 practicing physicians and physicians-in-training in  
13 the State.

14 I received my medical degree from the State University  
15 at Downstate Medical Center and completed my residency,  
16 training and fellowship in neuromuscular diseases and  
17 electrodiagnostics at the Rusk Institute, NYU University.

18 In addition to my practice, I serve on the Connecticut  
19 State Worker's Compensation Commission and Medical Advisory  
20 Committee, where I helped to develop the current attorney-  
21 physician guidelines, insurance payer-physician guidelines,  
22 treatment guidelines and an RVU-based fee schedule.

23 I am also a member of the Connecticut Prescription  
24 Monitoring Program.

25 United's abrupt, significant cuts to its Medicare

1 Advantage program in Connecticut are deeply concerning for  
2 both patients and physicians. United actions will have  
3 significant negative effects on the physician-patient  
4 relationship, the patient access to care and continuity of  
5 care for Medicare beneficiaries--a vulnerable population  
6 with complex medical needs, including many with chronic  
7 conditions and disabilities that limit mobility.

8 When UnitedHealthcare decided to drop the physicians in  
9 Connecticut from its Medicare Advantage plan, they did it in  
10 a way that seemed to maximize confusion for patients and  
11 doctors.

12 I would like to let you know that we did ask directly  
13 to United. We actually had some of their senior medical  
14 directors fly into Connecticut to talk to us, and we were  
15 told that there was no cause; it was just a contract; it was  
16 not based on quality.

17 And, in fact, the United Medicare Advantage plan has an  
18 advisory panel with physicians. Most of them were unaware  
19 that this process is going forward, and you would think that  
20 if you were making a medically based decision that your  
21 advisory panel would be involved. So many of them stepped  
22 down.

23 The physician terminations letters were sent by bulk  
24 mail in early October. Some received multiple letters  
25 indicating termination. Other doctors had no letter at all

1 but found out by going to the web site and finding that the  
2 names had been removed from the provider directory.

3 Physicians who actually received a letter were given no  
4 reason for termination, which made it difficult to appeal.

5 Phone contact with United staff was challenging, as  
6 well as looking in the online directory.

7 Both patients and physicians had problems determining  
8 network participation. Terminated physicians were listed as  
9 remaining in-network. Physicians who had not received a  
10 letter were listed as dropped. And many physicians received  
11 some verbal assurance, but no written confirmation was  
12 provided, adding to the confusion.

13 United made those physician cuts just before the 2013  
14 open enrollment period began on October 15th. And, as was  
15 highlighted here earlier, patients are required to choose a  
16 plan during that period, and once selected they are locked  
17 into that plan without other options. United failed to  
18 notify many patients of the network changes until mid-  
19 November, halfway through the open enrollment period.

20 From a physician care perspective, United's actions  
21 have been extremely disruptive. As physicians, we counsel  
22 our patients about health based on the most accurate and up-  
23 to-date clinical information. It is difficult to provide  
24 similar counseling when patients ask questions about whether  
25 or not we would be able to continue treatment and what the

1 continuity of care would be. There was a lack of accuracy  
2 and timeliness of United's information for them to make  
3 decisions.

4 Many CSMS members have shared their stories of patients  
5 who were confused and upset by the changes. Because United  
6 gave patients no reason for the network changes, some  
7 patients were worried that the doctors may have done  
8 something wrong.

9 Most recently, United patients have received letters  
10 saying that they can switch to another doctor for their  
11 care, but when the patients call this doctor's office they  
12 are told they cannot be seen or will have to wait weeks or  
13 months for an appointment.

14 Why? Because United never bothered to ask those listed  
15 doctors if there was any room left in the patient panels or  
16 if they were able to accept Medicare patients.

17 Throughout this process, the Center for Medicare and  
18 Medicaid Services, CMS--their lack of oversight and  
19 enforcement has been disappointing. Simply regurgitating  
20 that United played by the rules is not enough.

21 A common-sense review of travel time and distances  
22 requirements for the elderly and medically vulnerable  
23 patients clearly showed that existing guidelines are  
24 unrealistic, even dangerous.

25 Following a 90-day notice guideline does not help

1 patients or physicians when that notice was provided in a  
2 disorganized and incomplete manner. Even more critical, CMS  
3 did not seem to consider the 90-day notice ran through the  
4 open enrollment period. Physicians [sic] had to make  
5 choices for their 2014 health care without knowing whether  
6 their doctors would be able to take care of them.

7 Even more, for complicated patients with multiple  
8 medical conditions, they would have to see different  
9 physicians for these conditions and decide which physicians  
10 they would go with and which plan.

11 To calculate these decisions were challenging and  
12 difficult. No patient should have to make that choice.

13 Many of our members have had patients ask whether they  
14 could pay a little extra and stay with the doctor they know  
15 and trust. Patients were horrified to learn that their  
16 doctor--it was not a matter of a few dollars, but since  
17 there were no out-of-network benefits in the Medicare  
18 Advantage plans, they would have to pay the full cost. No  
19 patient should have to make that choice.

20 This is truly a watershed moment. United's actions  
21 have clearly shown that they place a higher priority on  
22 maximizing profit than maximizing their members' health.

23 Congress needs to recognize what is occurring here in  
24 Connecticut and across the country, in neighboring states  
25 like Rhode Island, and have patients have better choices

1 when they are going into the open enrollment period.

2 I would advocate for that beneficiary notice that  
3 Professor Biles talked about as being an intelligent option.

4 The solution is simple. Patients' access to care needs  
5 to be protected and maintained for this most vulnerable  
6 population.

7 United needs to be held accountable for its lack of  
8 clarity and transparency in this process and should  
9 demonstrate that its actions do not jeopardize access to  
10 care and actual provision of care to patients.

11 CMS should provide a common-sense oversight of United  
12 and not simply accept the insurer's word that the networks  
13 are adequate.

14 What we would like to see happen is that improvements  
15 in oversight and policing occur and that changes in the law  
16 or regulations that CMS applies to these Medicare Advantage  
17 plans are implemented, and we look forward to working with  
18 you on it.

19 [The prepared statement of Dr. Saffir follows:]



1           Senator Blumenthal. Thank you.

2           Dr. Welch.

RAW TRANSCRIPT NOT TO BE QUOTED

1                   STATEMENT OF RAYMOND WELCH, M.D., DERMATOLOGIST,  
2                   RHODE ISLAND DERMATOLOGY AND LASER MEDICINE

3           Dr. Welch.   Senator Whitehouse, Senator Blumenthal and  
4   Senator Murphy--did he leave?

5           Senator Blumenthal.   Senator Murphy had another  
6   commitment that he had to attend.

7           Dr. Welch.   I see.

8           Ladies and gentlemen, good afternoon. When I was asked  
9   to speak, I worried that perhaps I would be inadequate to  
10   address the policy issues. Thankfully, I do not have to do  
11   that. I could not possibly have said anything that  
12   addresses my concerns on a nationwide and Federal Medicare  
13   scale than what has been said.

14          What I can do as a practicing physician is address the  
15   personal side of this. I may add two additional things.

16          I want to take issue with the idea that the doctors  
17   that were terminated were terminated because of any  
18   inadequacy in their art or science.

19          And also, I would like to address the idea that  
20   UnitedHealthcare takes care of patients or any insurance  
21   company takes care of patients. I believe it is the  
22   physicians the nurses that do that. And I have never, when  
23   I had a concern about my patients, said, gee, I wonder what  
24   an insurance representative would say?

25          I challenge any doctor here--have you ever had help

1 from an insurance company, stopping bleeding, setting a  
2 fracture, treating a cancer, an infection or an inflammatory  
3 disease?

4 Those of you who are not doctors or patients, have you  
5 ever been sick and said, gee, I hope there is an insurance  
6 agent who can help me with this fever?

7 Senator Whitehouse. For the record, I have never seen  
8 an ambulance in Rhode Island go to an insurance office.

9 Dr. Welch. Thank you.

10 In October 2013, we received a letter from UnitedHealth  
11 plan informing me that we had been terminated, effective  
12 February 2014 from the UnitedHealth plan Medicare Advantage  
13 program. We were informed this was by virtue of a contract  
14 that permitted termination without cause with 90 days'  
15 notice.

16 We requested information regarding the metrics that had  
17 been used to decide who was terminated. This request was  
18 denied on the basis that the information was proprietary.

19 Our appeal was held by a phone conversation with two  
20 UnitedHealth plan medical directors--UnitedHealth plan  
21 medical directors--on December 5th, 2013. Only one question  
22 was raised for discussion--did we feel that we were properly  
23 and legally notified?

24 We said, no, on the basis of many mistakes that had  
25 been in correspondence that was mailed to us regarding

1 confusing us with other practices, et cetera.

2 In any case, our appeal was denied.

3 UnitedHealth plan has publically stated that their  
4 intention in contracting their Medicare Advantage network,  
5 by eliminating approximately one-third of Rhode Island  
6 doctors, is to improve quality while lowering costs. No  
7 data has been released describing how eliminating some of  
8 the finest doctors in Rhode Island will improve quality. I  
9 can only speculate how contracting the network will lower  
10 UnitedHealth's costs by increasing their profits.

11 I would like to share with you who my patients are that  
12 are affected by this termination. These are the same  
13 generation as our parents or, as some of us get older, our  
14 siblings. They are the veterans of three wars.

15 Ninety-four percent of my affected patients are skin  
16 cancer or pre-cancer patients, most of whom have had  
17 multiple skin cancers. One is a heart transplant who has  
18 had 164 separate skin cancers. Another saw four of her  
19 doctors, including myself and a cardiologist, terminated.

20 One patient, 88 years old and a survivor of 8 skin  
21 cancers in the last 13 years, kept asking, what do I do now,  
22 as I excised yet another squamous cell carcinoma from his  
23 chest. What do I do now?

24 Some of my patients are simply too old to understand  
25 what is happening to them. I dare say my mother, who is

1 forgetful but not demented, would struggle with this.

2 Some clearly did not understand that there was a time  
3 deadline to change their insurance.

4 Some have told us they assumed that since there was no  
5 rational reason given for my termination that our appeal  
6 would be successful.

7 Since the termination, the State of Rhode Island and  
8 UnitedHealth plan cut a separate deal for the retirees.  
9 Patients will be allowed to see their terminated doctors as  
10 long as those doctors agree to accept the out-of-network fee  
11 schedule.

12 UnitedHealth is already our lowest payer and actually,  
13 for their MA plan, discount their payments to doctors. We  
14 expect the out-of-network fee schedule to be even further  
15 reduced. Nonetheless, we will accept the out-of-network  
16 fee.

17 This accounts for about one-half of our UnitedHealth  
18 Medicare Advantage patients.

19 About one-half of the remaining patients have switched  
20 their insurance to other carriers rather than lose their  
21 doctors, including the patient who stood to lose all four of  
22 her doctors and the heart transplant patient. This passes  
23 the burden of their obviously expensive skin cancer care to  
24 the new insurer and relieves UnitedHealth plan of this cost.

25 These people have to be taken care. The cost is the

1 same no matter who delivers it unless they get inadequate  
2 care or simply fail to find another doctor.

3 One of our patients switched back to traditional  
4 Medicare A/B with UnitedHealth, Medigap or supplemental  
5 insurance. Due to her skin cancer history, she saw her  
6 monthly costs double.

7 The remaining patients have stayed with UHP. Some are  
8 too old to understand what has happened to them. Some are  
9 in employer-provided retiree plans with no choice and cannot  
10 change.

11 A review of the dermatology providers UHP lists as  
12 available includes a doctor who is dead, doctors who have  
13 retired, doctors who have left the state, a doctor who is an  
14 internist and has no credentials in dermatology, doctors who  
15 are part-time or not seeing new patients. One of the  
16 doctors is me under an old EIN number and at an address I  
17 left 10 years ago in Providence.

18 Apparently, the doctor that--

19 Senator Whitehouse. If you move back, do you think you  
20 would get coverage?

21 [Laughter.]

22 Dr. Welch. I do not know because I think in order to  
23 qualify I have to continue to not see patients.

24 Most of the private practice dermatologists in Rhode  
25 Island have been terminated, including several of our finest

1 dermatologists. I will back this statement up if anybody  
2 wants to talk to me later. I will give you names and  
3 credentials.

4 We have been told that UnitedHealth plan is telling  
5 Medicare Advantage patients with no out-of-network coverage,  
6 that if they try three times and cannot find another  
7 dermatologist, then UnitedHealth plan may issue a letter  
8 that allows the patient to continue with us for a given  
9 period of time. This suggests that UnitedHealth plan  
10 realizes they do not have enough dermatologists to cover the  
11 loss of terminated dermatologists.

12 In summary, UHP has not improved quality by terminating  
13 about one-third of the dermatologists in Rhode Island--and,  
14 by the way, this goes for other specialties as well--  
15 particularly since the availability of qualified  
16 replacements in adequate numbers is questionable.

17 In fact, being forced to switch from providers such as  
18 myself, who were intimately familiar with their cases, to  
19 new providers may delay care. In the case of my patients,  
20 this means delayed diagnosis and treatment of skin cancer  
21 with increased morbidity, suffering and death for elderly  
22 patients.

23 It would appear that UnitedHealth may lower their own  
24 costs by passing on the costs of care for their more  
25 expensive patients to other insurance carriers or by paying

1 terminated providers less to care for state retirees or by  
2 charging patients who switch to their supplemental Medicare  
3 plan an increased premium.

4 On my oath, I have sworn to serve the highest interests  
5 of my patients through the practice of my science and my art  
6 and that I will be an advocate for patients in need and  
7 strive for justice in the care of the sick. This is why I  
8 am here today, and I hope you will join me in defending our  
9 elderly patients' right to the best quality health care.

10 Thank you for allowing me to speak before this  
11 Committee, and I will try to answer any questions.

12 [The prepared statement of Dr. Welch follows:]



1 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you, Dr. Welch.

2 I am going to turn first to Senator Whitehouse for his  
3 questions.

4 Senator Whitehouse. Thank you very much, Chairman  
5 Blumenthal.

6 Let me thank all of the witnesses for their testimony.  
7 I thought it was a particularly helpful and instructive  
8 hearing.

9 What I extract from it is the conclusion that there are  
10 really three problems going on all at once in the middle of  
11 this.

12 One is a consumer protection problem, and that is that  
13 people are being subjected to a lot of potentially unfair  
14 treatment, a lot of confusion, a lot of anxiety, problems of  
15 due notice and, of course, the nuisance of having to  
16 accommodate by finding a new provider who may not be the one  
17 you are comfortable with. All of that creates, I think, a  
18 significant consumer protection issue.

19 And, unfortunately, it is a consumer protection problem  
20 that falls most heavily on those who are sickest because it  
21 is for them that the anxiety and that the change will be the  
22 greatest. If you are healthy through all this and you never  
23 see a doctor, it is kind of an abstract problem that you  
24 have to face. But, when you are in the throes of a real  
25 illness, this is where it hurts you.

1           So it is not only a consumer protection problem. It is  
2   a consumer protection problem that has a particular burden  
3   for those who are the most ill and the most vulnerable. So  
4   I think that is a very real concern.

5           The second problem is the problem of Medicare  
6   gamesmanship. As Ms. Stein mentioned, Medicare Advantage  
7   was supposed to compete head to head with Medicare and that  
8   she promised that it would be less expensive than Medicare  
9   when they fought for the right to compete head to head with  
10   Medicare, and by the time we passed the Affordable Care Act  
11   in Congress, they were 14 percent above Medicare. They were  
12   being paid a premium when they said they could do it at a  
13   discount.

14          So the Affordable Care Act gets rid of that premium,  
15   and that may enhance the incentive that private carriers  
16   have to cherry-pick the Medicare population, to try to make  
17   sure that the seniors who are golfing every weekend are the  
18   ones that they get and the ones who are in the hospital all  
19   the time are the ones that Medicare gets.

20          That would be consistent with a recurring problem that  
21   we are seeing in the American corporate world, which is an  
22   effort to privatize profits and socialize costs and use  
23   their power in government to take advantage of the general  
24   public for their own purposes. So you see it in a whole  
25   array of different areas, but it is certainly an acute

1 problem here.

2 And, when you see the way this is done, there is at  
3 least a flag of suspicion up that they are doing this in  
4 order to dump expensive patients and to cherry-pick their  
5 patient mix and move expensive patients to Medicare and be  
6 able to make more money off of the population that they  
7 reserve.

8 Until that concern has been rebutted, I think it stands  
9 plainly as a logical concern.

10 The third is--and Senator Blumenthal, Senator Murphy  
11 and I are all keenly working on this--you know, we have got  
12 one of the most expensive health care systems in the world.  
13 Actually, we have the most expensive health care system in  
14 the world by a margin of about 50 percent above the second  
15 most expensive health care system in the world, which I  
16 think right now is Switzerland.

17 Doing something about that cost problem is vital. One  
18 of the tools to do something about that cost problem is a  
19 well-managed network, a good network, a high-value network,  
20 to use Ms. Kanwit's phrase.

21 High-value networks can lower cost. High-value  
22 networks are measured by good outcomes produced by the  
23 doctors in the network, good electronic health record  
24 information technology in the network, good--what would you  
25 call it--coordination of care and handling of patients

1 between doctors and specialists in the network and providing  
2 the very best care and not unnecessary care and eliminating  
3 errors and all that kind of stuff. All of that is very much  
4 worth doing.

5 So there is a final problem here, which is that when an  
6 insurance company chooses to use its network for a bad  
7 purpose, for the purpose of cherry-picking, for the purpose  
8 of shoving expensive patients over to Medicare and keeping  
9 the less expensive ones for itself--which remains, as I  
10 said, an unrebutted proposition here in this hearing because  
11 United would not show up--there is an opportunity cost.

12 You cannot have a network that is at once designed to  
13 dump your more expensive patients and at the same time is  
14 designed to be the high-value network that should be the  
15 goal of our system. You make a choice. You cannot choose  
16 both. It is one or the other.

17 And, when you choose the path that United appears to  
18 have chosen, you are foregoing the path of a responsible  
19 high-value network, and that should be of concern to all of  
20 us.

21 So I really do not have any questions so much as to get  
22 your feedback on whether you think I have properly extracted  
23 the three harms that are at issue here. And, in my view,  
24 there has been no testimony to rebut at this point the, I  
25 guess, default proposition that United is behaving in

1 exactly those ways.

2 Ms. Kanwit. Senator, if I may, I cannot speak to  
3 United where AHIP was not directly involved in that,  
4 clearly, but I would like to talk about two of the issues  
5 you raised.

6 I appreciate your nod to high-value networks because  
7 we, too, at AHIP think that is the way--we think it is the  
8 way to go in the future to get our costs under control and  
9 our quality up.

10 But, on the consumer protection problem, our testimony  
11 covers, but there is more information.

12 CMS has extensive, extensive rules, actually consistent  
13 with some of Ms. Stein's suggestions, which allow for both  
14 adequacy of care and continuity of care--adequacy being that  
15 the network, the MA network, must have providers both in a  
16 geographical sense and in a quantity sense, enough  
17 specialists, enough PCPs, primary care providers, to make  
18 access easy for that particular beneficiary.

19 So there is that adequacy thing and then coupled with  
20 the continuity of care provision, which is also enshrined in  
21 our code of Federal regulations, which CMS administers,  
22 talking about what happens when a beneficiary either cannot  
23 get adequate care within a network. That beneficiary can  
24 get out-of-network care at the in-network price if he or she  
25 needs, for example, a specialized oncologist somewhere.

1           So those issues are there on the continuity.

2           And, if there are network changes, which there will  
3 inevitably be--and CMS, as a matter of fact, wisely,  
4 Senator, wants to keep flexibility so that health plans in  
5 the MA space can do innovations. That is one of the points  
6 of MA.

7           But that flexibility--

8           Senator Whitehouse. I will concede to you that there  
9 are CMS rules that help protect against some of the worst  
10 possible consumer protections, but I hope you will concede  
11 that the testimony we have heard today shows that for a lot  
12 of consumers this choice by United has been a very anxious-  
13 making, discouraging, inconveniencing and, in some cases,  
14 potentially even care-threatening or compromising occasion.

15          Ms. Kanwit. I do not have the facts to opine on that,  
16 to be honest with you. I have not followed it, and I just  
17 know what is in the public wheel and the conversation here  
18 this morning.

19          Senator Whitehouse. Okay.

20          Ms. Kanwit. I do think that there are consumer choices  
21 out there, if I could point out quickly.

22          For example, there are 12 MA plans, as Professor Biles  
23 has talked about the other consumer choices. There are  
24 about 12 other MA plans in the State of Connecticut, and  
25 those plans, in turn, have different benefit designs that a

1 consumer could choose.

2 In Rhode Island, there are five MA plans that a  
3 consumer could also go to.

4 Senator Whitehouse. But you agree that the number of  
5 plans that is available does not cure a problem of short  
6 notice or notice that somebody does not really, you know,  
7 experience the problem until they have signed up and then  
8 the problem detonates and they go to their doctor for the  
9 first time six months later and he says, by the way, I am  
10 not in the network any longer.

11 I think those are consumer protection problems that are  
12 not solved by the existence of other networks because the  
13 person's choice was not either informed or prepared enough  
14 for them in order to be able to take advantage of the other  
15 networks.

16 Participant. Senator, I would comment that the issue  
17 with network analysis--unfortunately, there had been a  
18 medical review process where there had been some oversight  
19 on the CMS side in the past, but that was streamlined so  
20 that it was simply a calculation of numbers and a list of  
21 names.

22 And, as my colleague to my right here pointed out, some  
23 of those names were people who were dead or who moved out of  
24 the state or did not practice correctly.

25 So an insightful analysis is clearly required. Simply

1 just saying, oh, yes, you know, there are 50 names, and this  
2 should take care of it, and they can handle everything you  
3 need; we have not checked with them; we do not know if they  
4 are alive, is not adequate.

5 Senator Whitehouse. You would think very much that a  
6 high-value network determination would pick up the deadness  
7 of a doctor.

8 [Laughter.]

9 Participant. Absolutely.

10 Ms. Stein. Further, it is my understanding that--I  
11 think quite audaciously, if I am correct--the Connecticut  
12 congressional delegation requested a list of the names of  
13 the doctors who were in that work still and those who were  
14 not and was unable to get that information.

15 Whatever protections there are were clearly inadequate.  
16 And also, I think that this demonstrates perhaps an outlier  
17 activity; that is, it is unusual.

18 United is--I think, you know, you have got Medicare,  
19 Medicaid and United. United, like, owns healthcare in this  
20 country.

21 Senator Whitehouse. It is big.

22 Ms. Stein. It is very dangerous,

23 And it is branded by AARP. So people go to United.

24 I had people say to me, well, I am not affected, right,  
25 because I am still with AARP.



1           So, while there are protections, they clearly have been  
2 inadequate.

3           The definition of an adequate network needs to be  
4 reviewed to make sure it really meets the needs of, first  
5 the beneficiaries and then the physicians.

6           And I can tell you as a breast cancer survivor, if you  
7 are in the midst of getting care, you do not have a fungible  
8 oncologist, a radiation oncologist, an infusion center.  
9 These things are not just going to one Wal-Mart or the  
10 other.

11          So I would urge a review of what protections did not  
12 work and what needs to be done to make them work.

13          And, certainly, this cannot be proprietary information.  
14 My office could not get the information. But, how can the  
15 United Connecticut delegation not get this information, and  
16 how can CMS and this Administration, which I know and love,  
17 have been so, I think, repeating--regurgitating, I think the  
18 doctor said--the statements that it meets the rules?

19          Maybe it did, but it obviously shocks equity and good  
20 conscience, what has happened, which means the rules are  
21 inadequate.

22          Senator Whitehouse. Well, thank you.

23          Ms. Stein. And we need to level the playing field with  
24 traditional Medicare.

25          Senator Whitehouse. I am going to very shortly return

1 to Rhode Island, which, in our neck of the woods, we think  
2 is a long drive from here. We think a drive from Providence  
3 to Newport is a long drive in Rhode Island; so, from  
4 Hartford, back.

5 So let me take this opportunity to thank Chairman  
6 Blumenthal for holding this hearing. I really, truly do  
7 think it has been instructive.

8 And, in addition to the individual cases, I really  
9 think that as we are looking forward at how we fix the  
10 health care system and solve the huge 50 percent extra cost  
11 burden that Americans forced to bear because of the  
12 inefficiencies in the cost system, we are really playing  
13 with fire, and our insurance companies are really playing  
14 with fire when they are messing around with networks.

15 We had bad network behavior in the bad old HMO days, as  
16 you will remember and as a lot of Rhode Islanders still  
17 remember, when what got you into the network was cutting a  
18 special deal with the insurance company; it had nothing to  
19 do with the patient.

20 And those were bad old days, and the HMO situation got  
21 so bad that Hollywood made movies about people who were, you  
22 know, the victims of that HMO mentality. Now we have to  
23 fight against that now that we have patient-centered and  
24 high-value networks that need to be done.

25 But, if the whole process of pulling physician networks

1 together gets made disreputable by behavior like this, it is  
2 going to be very hard to take the steps we really need to  
3 have to build the high-value networks that Ms. Kanwit spoke  
4 so eloquently about.

5 So there is a real carry-on cost to the health care  
6 system, and I think to all of us, if we do not get this  
7 right and if we do not take the kind of action that Senator  
8 Blumenthal is leading on.

9 So, again, my pleasure to be here, and I will excuse  
10 myself and thank my Connecticut colleagues for their  
11 hospitality today.

12 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you, Senator Whitehouse. We  
13 wish you well on your long drive back to Rhode Island, and  
14 thank you so much for your leadership in this area.

15 I might just say since we had on this panel two former  
16 attorneys general, as well as two former United States  
17 attorneys, part of this problem strikes me as enforcement.  
18 You know, what Senator Whitehouse referred to as the flag of  
19 suspicion--I think it is more like a cannon burst so far as  
20 possible illegality here is concerned.

21 After all, a court has found that United Healthcare  
22 Group very probably broke the law and, therefore, has  
23 enjoined its abusive action.

24 So I guess I want to pick up on what Judith Stein  
25 emphasized and others have alluded to--why isn't there

1 better Federal enforcement in this area?

2 Most people, as you remarked, do not know what CMS  
3 means, what those initials stand for and what its role or  
4 responsibility is.

5 So there are really two elephants in this room. One is  
6 United Healthcare, and the other is CMS and why it has not  
7 taken more effective action.

8 And I just to confirm what Ms. Stein said. In fact,  
9 the Connecticut delegation sought this information from  
10 United Healthcare, and they were unwilling to provide it.

11 So let me open that question to all of you, having  
12 observed for a long time Federal enforcement efforts in this  
13 area, and let's turn the light on CMS and other agencies  
14 that have a responsibility.

15 Mr. Biles. Senator, I think my response would be you  
16 are exactly right, and part of that, of course, is both the  
17 number and the expertise of the individuals in CMS  
18 responsible for managing what is now a \$120-plus billion a  
19 year program.

20 And I think CMS has, of course, many responsibilities--  
21 hospitals, physicians--across the board. But I think in  
22 terms of the numbers and maybe particularly the focus in  
23 this area, I would say, has been lacking.

24 I know in our case we are interested in data, being  
25 researchers. If we look at the Federal center that provides

1 data, they have over 100 databases with physicians,  
2 hospitals, prescription drugs. There is not a single  
3 database that has been released on the Medicare Advantage  
4 program.

5 And, beyond that, again, just issue by issue--and I  
6 think Judy could comment--they have just been very reluctant  
7 to view this as a kind of Federal program with the sort of  
8 transparency that one would expect in a Federal program.

9 Ms. Kanwit. Let me also say that, to come to the  
10 defense of CMS, they have had these regulations in place,  
11 our plans work hard to comply with them, Senator, and that  
12 the regulations--that CMS wants the plans to have the  
13 flexibility in Medicare Advantage to make innovations that  
14 are not possible in the Medicare fee-for-service system.

15 As Senator Whitehouse so eloquently said, we need to  
16 move away from the rigidified--the disjointed--Medicare fee-  
17 for-service system to a much more collaborative and  
18 communicative thing with doctors and hospitals and health  
19 plans all working together to get health care costs down.

20 So Medicare Advantage was supposed to be innovative.  
21 It was supposed to provide benefits. Hence, it is a little  
22 more costly although not always.

23 Medicare Advantage--actually, Medicare Advantage  
24 beneficiaries in many cases are 2 percent lower in local  
25 markets--the premiums--than fee-for-service. Two percent

1 lower.

2 So it is not always--and it is not comparing apples to  
3 comparing if you compare fee-for-service, with all due  
4 respect to Ms. Stein, to Medicare Advantage because the  
5 Medicare Advantage has so many more benefits tacked on than  
6 the Medicare fee-for-service.

7 Senator Blumenthal. I understand your point in the  
8 abstract, and you are right that Senator Whitehouse was very  
9 powerful and eloquent in describing the dynamic of what is  
10 supposed to be occurring.

11 But what we have here is 61,000 patients whose health  
12 care was severely jeopardized. They were put through the  
13 emotional wringer, not to mention the possible detrimental  
14 effect to their health care of, at the very least, opaque  
15 and abrupt treatment by United Healthcare, not only in  
16 Connecticut but in Rhode Island, in Ohio, in Florida, across  
17 the country. It was not an aberrant occurrence here.

18 And, in Connecticut, the medical society went to court.  
19 And I joined them, not because I have any legal standing--in  
20 fact, I do not--but I was representing the interests of  
21 those patients. They were representing the doctors.

22 And I think the question can be legitimately be asked--  
23 where was CMS?

24 And, if CMS felt it did not have the resources or the  
25 authority, don't we need to do something about that

1 enforcement gap?

2 Obviously, you are not speaking--I am not putting you  
3 on the--you know, this is not your--I appreciate your coming  
4 to their defense, but I do not mean that you are personally  
5 responsible to answer the question.

6 Ms. Kanwit. No, I am speaking generally for the  
7 Medicare Advantage program, Senator, and the advantages it  
8 brings to beneficiaries who are very, very happy generally.  
9 Over 90 percent, I mentioned, happiness rates and satisfied  
10 rates with the Medicare Advantage program.

11 But CMS also has come out with statements in this  
12 particular case, the United case--again, I do not speak for  
13 United--

14 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you.

15 Ms. Kanwit. --talking about the open enrollment  
16 periods, et cetera, one of which we are in the middle of  
17 right now, until February 14th.

18 Senator Blumenthal. Let me turn to the other witnesses  
19 who may have some response to the question I have raised.

20 Dr. Saffir. Well, we were going to comment that in  
21 terms of communication, obviously, this is an example where  
22 communication was not well done. So that enhanced value of  
23 communication did not clearly not occur in this situation.

24 We did try to reach out to United to get answers. I  
25 know that you sent letters. The delegation sent letters.

1 The attorney general sent letters, and did not get answers.

2 We did send requests out to CMS and got answers that  
3 were less than satisfactory, and those examples are  
4 available, and I am sure have been submitted as part of the  
5 paperwork and information for this hearing.

6 So that was not satisfactory.

7 I think that the network analysis needs to have better  
8 review. Like I said, United had a medical advisory panel  
9 that was unaware of this process. They should have been  
10 engaged. When you make a medical adequacy decision, it  
11 makes sense to have doctors involved.

12 In terms of deciding how to best manage costs, I mean,  
13 your brother published an article in the New England Journal  
14 that talked about these costs and ways to look at it. It  
15 cannot be done by bureaucrats since it involves the health care  
16 of patients. You have to have doctors involved.

17 Ms. Stein. Senator, when Medicare Advantage came into  
18 effect in 2003, there was, in fact, the movement to  
19 privatize Medicare happened. It did not happen with Social  
20 Security, but it happened with Medicare and, to me,  
21 shockingly, to the extent of taxpayers and all Medicare  
22 beneficiaries paying a huge amount more in order to do that.

23 And it is true that the law, I think, needs to be  
24 reviewed because there was a sense that this was not always  
25 state action--and I know you know what I mean by that--but



1 these were private entities and that, yes, the government  
2 was not intertwined in the way it is with the traditional  
3 Medicare program.

4 These private entities receive huge amounts, as you  
5 know, of public dollars in a way that is actually partly  
6 responsible for the alleged bankrupting of the Medicare  
7 program. United is not entitled to be a Medicare Advantage  
8 plan. And somehow the American people have misunderstood,  
9 have not been heard enough, of what we are paying, what it  
10 is costing us, to have private insurance plans be part of  
11 Medicare.

12 And I suspect that AHIP--I do not know--is as sorry as  
13 any of us that United did what it did because it is creating  
14 a huge problem for the good guys in the system. But they  
15 are the biggest guy, or one of the biggest guys.

16 And we have to make sure that the laws that were put  
17 into effect, largely as a consequence of the law that was  
18 passed in 2003 and the regs that followed, which were at the  
19 time very much intended to move people to Medicare  
20 Advantage--and that happened.

21 It used to be you could move back from traditional  
22 Medicare to Medicare Advantage at this time. This  
23 Administration switched that. The philosophy switched. The  
24 implementation and the regs have not caught up.

25 If from this hearing we actually could believe that we

1 would look at the regs to see if they meet this kind of  
2 circumstance, when in fact the clever notion to deal with  
3 the doctors and that removes the sick patients--clever, I  
4 say in a negative way--shows us how much can happen under  
5 the current regs.

6 We need to make sure that the burden is on the plan to  
7 show that what it has done is to lead to innovation, good  
8 flexibility, true coordination of care and more services,  
9 not \$75 toward eyeglasses, not a health club membership, but  
10 all those things that the MA plans and their industry always  
11 want to tell us. The burden should be on the plan to show  
12 that that value is really happening.

13 I can tell you I am one of the few attorneys who  
14 represents Medicare beneficiaries as my career. It has yet  
15 to be shown to me. We were told that in Medicare+Choice,  
16 and we have been told that in Medicare Advantage.

17 And this whole country is paying dearly for what is not  
18 good flexibility. This kind of flexibility is terrible.  
19 Medicare could not get away with it.

20 What is innovation?

21 What is coordinated care?

22 What real more services are being offered?

23 I think those regs and the burden of showing that needs  
24 to be really reviewed.

25 Ms. Kanwit. Senator, may I just quickly respond?

1 Yes, two quick points to Ms. Stein's questions.

2 On the quality issue, the data out there--and these are  
3 not AHIP's data; they are in respected publications, like  
4 Health Affairs, and we cite them in page 3 of our testimony--  
5 show the huge quality differences: 17 percent, 20 percent  
6 for breast cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, et  
7 cetera, in Medicare Advantage plans. So there are  
8 demonstrable quality differences.

9 I also cannot let go unanswered Ms. Stein's impassioned  
10 plea on the alleged motives for the network changes that  
11 United, or anyone else, ever makes in the Medicare Advantage  
12 plan. There is really no incentive for an MA carrier to  
13 plan to cherry-pick, as Senator Whitehouse talked about.

14 All of it is risk-adjusted. The premiums that the plan  
15 gets are risk-adjusted by CMS. So it does not--the plan can  
16 take on a person with six chronic illnesses versus a person  
17 who is playing golf every day and not be hurt financially.

18 There is also guaranteed issue in Medicare Advantage.  
19 Anyone can sign up--whether you are healthy as a horse or  
20 have 20 chronic diseases.

21 So the point is there is no particular incentive for  
22 plans to do that. So I just want to correct the record on  
23 that.

24 Dr. Welch. May I speak?

25 Senator Blumenthal. Of course, Dr. Welch.

1 Dr. Welch. Thank you.

2 Blue Cross-Blue Shield of Rhode Island has taken on--is  
3 it 8,500--8,500 more patients as a result of this, patients  
4 who would not leave their doctors.

5 As I pointed out, my patients are skin cancer patients.  
6 They need a lot of procedures that are expensive. So those  
7 patients are no longer part of United Health's risk pool.

8 In addition, they discount the fees that they pay to us  
9 below what Medicare pays.

10 Now, just so everybody understands, the way that the  
11 Medicare fees are arrived at--there is a panel of doctors  
12 called the RUC panel which makes recommendations across  
13 specialties. These are considered by the government--CMS, I  
14 believe--and then relative values, procedures and services  
15 are assigned that are felt to be fair and equitable.

16 United Health, to get these efficiencies, discounts  
17 those. They then charge the patient a \$40 co-pay. So, for  
18 a \$45 service, that means the patient pays \$40, United  
19 Health pays \$5, and the doctor discounts his services.

20 So I think that there is financial incentive here.

21 Another point that troubles me--you mentioned earlier  
22 that these--there is a phrase I need to have documented. I  
23 think the first word is value. Does anybody remember what  
24 that phrase is?

25 Value? The panels have value?

1 Ms. Kanwit. High-value provider networks.

2 Dr. Welch. High-value provider networks, right.

3 Oh, by the way, thank you for commenting. I admire  
4 your courage.

5 One of the ways that you said that those high-value  
6 would be determined was through published metrics by which  
7 a doctor could be determined to be providing good quality  
8 care, something like that. Maybe I am paraphrasing you.

9 Ms. Kanwit. No, that is accurate.

10 Dr. Welch. Okay. Well, let's suppose those are there.

11 I will, to you, lay out my credentials, my 33 years of  
12 experience, my record in taking care of patients, my honors  
13 and awards. I will lay that out.

14 United Health will not tell us the metrics upon which  
15 we were judged nor will they share their data.

16 The importance of the data is there are mistakes in  
17 here--bad providers.

18 By the way, that dead dermatologist was excellent five  
19 or six years.

20 Participant. That is a good note.

21 Dr. Welch. They make mistakes, but we are not allowed  
22 to evaluate the data.

23 I am confident that my quality and my skills would  
24 equal any dermatologist practicing in New England. I  
25 challenge you to show otherwise, publically, in any court

1 you want--basketball, tennis, court of law. Prove it.

2 Okay?

3 Put your money up. Prove it.

4 Otherwise, what you have done is you have taken a  
5 doctor who is devoted his career to caring for his patients  
6 and managing skin cancer away from those patients and said,  
7 go find another doctor.

8 We are not widgets. We are not interchangeable parts.  
9 Some of us specialize in one thing. Some of us are  
10 interested in another. There are reasons that the doctors  
11 in Yale dermatology, by the way--who, I believe, were all  
12 terminated--are ranked among the highest in the world.

13 Forgive me. I told my wife I would not get passionate.

14 Senator Blumenthal. Thank you, Dr. Welch.

15 Dr. Welch. You are welcome, sir.

16 Senator Blumenthal. Just for the record, because Ms.  
17 Stein mentioned it, I want to say United Health Group is, in  
18 fact, the largest Medicare Advantage provider, at least in  
19 Connecticut, with 43 percent, as I mentioned earlier--  
20 61,000. The next largest is Emblem Health, which has 32  
21 percent and 45,000. The next largest are Aetna with 16  
22 percent; WellCare Health Plans, 5 percent; WellPoint, 4  
23 percent.

24 So United Health Group is not just a small outlier. It  
25 is the major provider in Connecticut, and my guess is a

1 major provider in those other states where similar kinds of  
2 opaque and abrupt actions have been taken.

3 Dr. Saffir, did you have something?

4 Dr. Saffir. You mentioned Emblem Health, and so I had  
5 the opportunity to get together with some of my colleagues  
6 in New York. And I am sure Senator Schumer was also paying  
7 attention to this, but Emblem Health had also considered  
8 doing some network changes. But, given the reaction and  
9 the, I guess, sloppy nature that United incurred, they  
10 decided to back off.

11 It, again, leads me to believe that it was profit-based  
12 because if it was for the good of the patients and they  
13 backed off, then that is a sad mistake, but I think that  
14 they realized this opportunity to make their networks more  
15 profitable was not the time to be taken now.

16 And I think the example that United, as the large payer  
17 that it is, needs to be the example that we look at how we  
18 do this better. I think that is a clear example.

19 I also say the regular Medicare program, for the amount  
20 of services it delivers, has been shown to be one of the  
21 most efficient in terms of the net medical loss ratio costs.  
22 What it provides versus its overhead expenses--what the  
23 CEOs, what the administrators, what everybody else gets--are  
24 not exorbitant in the regular Medicare system compared to  
25 what the salaries might be for some of the for-profit health

1 plans.

2 Ms. Stein. Yes, I think that is one of the things I  
3 would like to have. I keep being frustrated that people are  
4 not being told, at least in Connecticut, you can get back to  
5 traditional Medicare and see your physicians--speaking to  
6 your constituent.

7 It is extraordinarily important for them to know that.

8 Unfortunately, the way this system is stacked towards  
9 MA now, towards private Medicare, it means they have to pick  
10 up a Medigap plan, and in many states they cannot do that.  
11 In Connecticut, happily, we have extra protections, but it  
12 is expensive.

13 And that is part of the reason that we need to look at  
14 how can we level the playing field and then let the private  
15 market in if it can play according to the same rules.

16 But do let people know that they can go back to  
17 traditional Medicare, and in Connecticut they can get, if  
18 they need, a Medigap plan.

19 Senator Blumenthal. And I will just tell you that my  
20 office has been dealing with tens, if not hundreds, of  
21 inquiries, trying to direct them in ways that can reassure  
22 them and restore the health care that they feel they need  
23 and deserve.

24 And the kind of practical work that you are doing with  
25 your clients, I think, has been enormously valuable as well.



1 Professor?

2 Mr. Biles. Senator, I was just going to comment.

3 Generally, as we have said, this is a national issue, and it  
4 is one that is likely to increase.

5 I think a point that has just been made is that the  
6 five major plans--United, Kaiser, Humana, Blue Cross,  
7 WellPoint and Aetna--have more than 60 percent of the  
8 enrollees nationwide. So here we see a giant, out-of-state  
9 insurer, but that is not unique. That is the pattern  
10 primarily across the country.

11 So the lessons from here are not just for Connecticut  
12 but for the Nation.

13 And I think then back to the three points that Senator  
14 Whitehouse made; I think the advance notice by September  
15 30th would make a big difference and particularly if the  
16 plans then interacted with their physicians earlier than  
17 that.

18 They will complain they do not get their rates until  
19 September, but to use that an excuse not to make this sort  
20 of information available to beneficiaries during the self-  
21 enrollment period, I think, is wrong.

22 Secondly, CMS has never done very much in this  
23 physician network adequacy area.

24 And, again, to some extent, when they are overpaid by--  
25 Senator Blumenthal. And CMS--just for the record and

1 for the understanding of everybody who is listening today,  
2 CMS actually has a legal responsibility in that area, does  
3 it not?

4 Mr. Biles. Yes, but this is not an area, I think it is  
5 fair to say, particularly since these very substantial extra  
6 overpayments beginning in 2006 that really focused in this  
7 area.

8 But, again, as the payments ratchet down, this does  
9 become an area in which the individuals at CMS would need to  
10 create a whole new team and people to manage that.

11 And then I think the third area is this whole risk  
12 adjustment and gaming, and I do think, on one hand, Medicare  
13 Advantage has the best risk adjustment system in the  
14 country. On the other hand, it requires plans to submit  
15 data, and you would guess that plans have resisted  
16 submitting more and more data. So I think that is a third  
17 area in which your kind of comments about CMS's diligence is  
18 probably appropriate.

19 Ms. Kanwit. You know, MA plans, to the professor's  
20 comments, really want to make their beneficiaries happy.  
21 They want to do a good job. They want to follow CMS  
22 regulations. I do not know why they would resist producing  
23 data to CMS.

24 We, at AHIP, just for example, Senator, have a really  
25 good working relationship with CMS. We talk to them all the

1 time about issues related to this.

2 They provide incredibly detailed oversight. They just  
3 proposed, actually just last week, additional rules in the  
4 Part C Medicare Advantage space. So they are looking at  
5 this with a fine-tooth comb.

6 I think the regulation is particularly adequate and  
7 what we are discussing here today is how to move the  
8 American health care system, Senator Whitehouse said, into  
9 the 21st Century and couple cost efficiency and get the  
10 quality.

11 One final point to the professor's comments--the real  
12 issue here is how many choices have, and it does not make  
13 any difference how big a particular plan or how small a  
14 particular plan is in the Medicare Advantage space, say, in  
15 Connecticut.

16 What really counts is consumer choices. There are 12  
17 different MA carriers, MA plans, in Connecticut. And, as I  
18 mentioned, each of those plans have different permutations  
19 of those plans. You can have an HMO plan, a PPO plan,  
20 within MA.

21 So consumers have a lot of different MA choices.

22 Senator Blumenthal. Well, consumer choice is an  
23 extraordinarily valuable feature until there is bait and  
24 switch, and then consumers may choose but may find that  
25 their choices put them in a position they had not expected.

1           And I think there has been some of that here. Bait and  
2 switch is a fair way to characterize what the effect has  
3 been.

4           In addition to egregiously deficient notice, I think  
5 there has been fairly common agreement--I do not want to  
6 speak for everyone--that the notice here left a lot to be  
7 desired.

8           But remember, after patients were notified, they were  
9 also told that their physicians could appeal, and so they  
10 might remain in the network anyway. And they had a deadline  
11 to make decisions.

12          So nobody can forgive them for being more than a little  
13 bit confused and anxious about the choices that they had  
14 under this system because they had no idea what the  
15 consequences of choices would be in addition to the  
16 complexity of the system.

17          All of the permutations, you know, are a little bit  
18 like--I do not want to impugn another industry, but we all  
19 know the fine print that can often make choices more  
20 confusing or misleading or even deceptive.

21          So I think that this hearing has been enormously  
22 valuable, as Senator Whitehouse said, and your testimony  
23 will be a part of the record.

24          I want to make sure that we get into the record Mr.  
25 Buccieri's testimony as to his own experience, which he

1 articulated so well in the brief public event we had before  
2 this one, and I want to make sure that my colleagues can  
3 have the benefit of being able to read it.

4 So I am going to close this part of the hearing at this  
5 point.

6 You have been very, very helpful and cooperative.

7 As long a journey as the Senators may think they had,  
8 some of you have come from much longer distances, and we  
9 truly appreciate it, including Rhode Island, Dr. Welch. And  
10 thank you very much for being here today.

11 And, if you want to add anything to your statement, we  
12 are going to keep the record open for a week so that you can  
13 feel free to submit anything else in writing that you would  
14 like to do, and we will make that part of the record also,  
15 without any objection.

16 So thank you very much.

17 Ms. Kanwit. Thank you very much.

18 Ms. Stein. Thank you, Senator.

19 Senator Blumenthal. We will hear now from Mr. Buccieri  
20 if he is agreeable to doing so.

21 [Pause.]

22 Senator Blumenthal. By the way, while you are  
23 switching, I want to give a particular thanks to the staff  
24 of the Committee on Aging, who has been so helpful and  
25 cooperative.

1           And I also want to thank my staff for their excellent  
2 work. Rich and Laurel are here today. I think many of you  
3 have spoken to them and others on my staff who have been so  
4 helpful.

5           [Pause.]

6           Senator Blumenthal. Mr. Buccieri, I want to again  
7 thank you for being here today. Both your bravery and your  
8 eloquence are very much appreciated not only by myself but  
9 the Committee as a whole, and I want to really thank you  
10 for, again, sharing your story as you have with my staff and  
11 the public and just allow you to briefly summarize your  
12 experience with the Medicare Advantage plan in which you  
13 were enrolled.

1 STATEMENT OF ROBERT BUCCIERI, MEDICARE BENEFICIARY

2 Mr. Buccieri. Thank you for the opportunity.

3 My name is Robert Buccieri, B-u-c-c-i-e-r-i. I have  
4 been on United Healthcare Medicare Advantage plan for almost  
5 two years, and I think that they have done--thus far, it has  
6 been a great policy up until the fall when I started  
7 receiving one letter after another letter after another  
8 letter of cancellations--my nephrologist, the doctors at  
9 Yale Transplant, one by one, the medical group they belong  
10 to, as well as the dialysis center in Norwalk.

11 It has been an emotional rollercoaster, dealing with  
12 this, and I thank you and your staff for helping me along  
13 the way. We are not done, but I think we are making  
14 progress.

15 And I just wish that United Healthcare, even with their  
16 responses, was more definite instead of vague. In one  
17 letter I just got yesterday, it said I could see my doctor  
18 for 25 minutes from like a 4-month period. I do not even  
19 understand what that means.

20 And it is things like that.

21 With the dialysis, even it is so many visits, but it is  
22 just difficult because even if I see my doctor and they give  
23 you a 90-day window, if it is not resolved in another 90  
24 days, I have to do it all over again. And who knows what is  
25 going to happen at that point.

1 [The prepared statement of Mr. Buccieri follows:]

2 / COMMITTEE INSERT

RAW TRANSCRIPT NOT TO BE QUOTED



1           Senator Blumenthal. I gather there was some emergency  
2 condition that required you to seek treatment immediately.

3           Mr. Buccieri. Yes. Well, my doctors have been very  
4 good at stabilizing, but progression is very slow, and right  
5 now I am in stage five kidney disease, which I guess is  
6 called end-stage renal disease. And I am on the transplant  
7 list that, you know, they have in the hospital.

8           And even just maybe a week ago I received a phone call  
9 from United Healthcare saying that maybe I could go to  
10 Boston or maybe I could go to New York. Who wants to go to  
11 New York or Boston when you have one of the best hospitals  
12 in the State of Connecticut?

13           It is just things like that.

14           Senator Blumenthal. So these network changes have  
15 real-life practical consequences for your treatment--where  
16 it is done, by whom and so forth.

17           Mr. Buccieri. Absolutely.

18           Senator Blumenthal. And has Yale been helpful and  
19 cooperative--Yale-New Haven?

20           Mr. Buccieri. They have, and you know, people have  
21 been very good about helping, even the reps I have at my  
22 health care, but obviously, they are very limited to what  
23 they can do or what they can say. And I have asked for them  
24 to get things in writing, but even with that, it has not  
25 come through.

1           Senator Blumenthal. Have you sought to contact United  
2 Healthcare?

3           Mr. Buccieri. On many occasions. As I said, I guess  
4 my nurse liaison or nurse case manager for my health care is  
5 very good, and she has been calling the dialysis center  
6 because at one point she said that they signed a national  
7 contract.

8           But my problem was--or my question was my nephrologist  
9 is the medical director of the dialysis unit. I said, how  
10 is that going to affect, or is that going to affect, the  
11 situation?

12          And she was unsure, and she called back and said that  
13 some are changing the doctors and using a different  
14 nephrologist.

15          But I have been with this doctor for, I guess, two  
16 years, and I have a very good rapport with him, and I want  
17 to continue that. I do not really want to start a new  
18 doctor.

19          And when they asked me that maybe I could go to New  
20 York or Boston, I said that is a possibility, but then you  
21 begin again at the bottom of the list, and here we go, you  
22 know, waiting another couple of years or who knows how long.

23          Senator Blumenthal. You begin at the bottom of the  
24 list in terms of eligibility for the transplant.

25          Mr. Buccieri. Yes.

1           Senator Blumenthal. And you begin with a new doctor  
2   whom you do not know, and you have to go to a place that is  
3   distant from where you live

4           Mr. Buccieri. Yes.

5           Senator Blumenthal. And all of those factors make it  
6   very, very difficult and different to receive health care  
7   under those terms.

8           Mr. Buccieri. That is true.

9           Senator Blumenthal. Is there anything else that you  
10   would like to add?

11          I know that my staff has been very much engaged in  
12   seeking to help you, and we appreciate your cooperation in  
13   that effort, too.

14          Mr. Buccieri. I appreciate the help, and your staff  
15   has been very helpful--Grady, in particular.

16          But I think the main thing--obviously, I would like to  
17   get the whole thing solved and get my doctor back, but if in  
18   fact they cannot, I would like to get some sort of  
19   notification in writing saying what I can do because even if  
20   they say I can see my doctor, how do I go to the doctor and  
21   tell them that I want to see someone out of network, but do  
22   not worry; they are going to get paid for it?

23          You know, I think it is going to be very difficult.

24          Senator Blumenthal. Well, thank you again for being  
25   here.

1           Grady Keefe of my office and I are going to continue  
2   working with you and fighting for you.

3           And, again, we are very, very grateful--the whole  
4   Committee is--for your attendance today and your  
5   participation. Thank you so much.

6           Mr. Buccieri. Thank you for this opportunity and the  
7   help you have provided.

8           Senator Blumenthal. Thank you.

9           I am going to close the hearing.

10          As I mentioned earlier, the record will stay open for  
11   one week in case any Committee members have questions for  
12   the witnesses or if the witnesses have additional  
13   submissions.

14          And so, with that, this hearing is adjourned. Thank  
15   you.

16          [Whereupon, at 3:47 p.m., the Committee was adjourned.]