U.S. SENATOR BOB CASEY



RANKING MEMBER Special Committee on Aging

Trump Budget Eliminates the Only Federal Job Training Program **Specifically Targeting Older Americans**

Older workers are an increasing part of the labor force. In 2015, an estimated 33 million Americans over the age of 55 were employed. At the same time, more than 1.3 million Americans 55 or older were looking for work.1 The Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP) is the only federal job training program targeted specifically to older Americans, and helps about 68,000 people each year through employment and training.^{2,3} Recognizing its important role in job training and placement, Congress has provided SCSEP approximately \$434 million annually over the past few years. President Trump's proposed Fiscal Year 2018 Budget would completely eliminate the program.

How the Senior Community Service Employment Program Works

SCSEP provides subsidized employment in community service positions for low-income, unemployed seniors with the goal of empowering older Americans to improve their economic well-being and employability. SCSEP works in close partnership with national organizations and 56 state and territorial governments to support local employment opportunities in organizations such senior centers, libraries, schools, and other community-based organizations. SCSEP participants earn income while building skills valued by their communities. As required by the law, 75 percent of funding for the program is spent on paying wages and benefits to SCSEP participants. The program specifically prioritizes key populations such as older veterans and older Americans in rural areas.

Benefits to Seniors and Communities

Older Americans participating in SCSEP work in community service jobs an average of 20 hours a week. The U.S. Department of Labor reports that the program provides over 40 million community service hours to public and non-profit agencies, allowing them to enhance and provide needed services.4 Through SCSEP, community service organizations benefit from the experience and knowledge of older Americans, while these workers receive job training, work skills, wages, and opportunities to improve their financial and emotional well-being. The ultimate goal of SCSEP is to prepare older Americans to move out of SCSEP subsidized employment into the regular workforce and almost 50% of SCSEP participants go on to find work in unsubsidized employment.⁵

Under Threat

Eliminating SCSEP will undermine the efforts of low-income older Americans trying to return to the workforce and will harm the local communities who have come to rely on the staffing provided by the program. In Pennsylvania alone, SCSEP allocated over \$22 million to fill 2,300 positions in the state in 2016. Pennsylvania estimates that this funding represents approximately 2.4 million hours of community service and over 1,000 older Americans returning to the regular workforce.

Communities across the country benefit from the work older Americans contribute through the SCSEP program and older Americans benefit from the skill development and training they gain through this employment. Eliminating this program is shortsighted. The Trump Administration's Budget ignores the reality that older Americans are a vital part of communities and have much to offer to the workforce.

¹ Mature Workers Facts. National Council on Aging. https://www.ncoa.org/news/resources-for-reporters/get-the-facts/mature-workers-facts/

² Evaluation of the Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP). Social Policy Research Associates and Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. September 24, 2012. p. ES- 1. https://wdr.doleta.gov/research/FullText_Documents/ETAOP_2013_03.pdf

³ Congressional Budget Justification, Community Service Employment for Older Americans, Fiscal Year 2018. U.S. Department of Labor. p. 10. https://www.dol.gov/sites/default/files/CBJ-2018-V1-05.pdf

⁴ Senior Community Service Employment Program. U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.doleta.gov/seniors/

⁵ Evaluation of the Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP). Social Policy Research Associates and Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. September 24, 2012. p. ES- 9. https://wdr.doleta.gov/research/FullText_Documents/ETAOP_2013_03.pdf

State-by-State Funding for SCSEP⁶ (Includes National and State Grants)

State/Territory Total Positions Total Funding Alabama 826 \$8,009,870 Alaska 190 \$1,846,110 Arizona 602 5,842,366 Arkansas 796 7,719,839 California 3,732 36,189,241 Colorado 455 4,413,501 Connecticut 480 4,658,527 Delaware 190 1,846,110 District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428 Hawaii 190 1,846,110	
Alaska 190 \$1,846,110 Arizona 602 5,842,366 Arkansas 796 7,719,839 California 3,732 36,189,241 Colorado 455 4,413,501 Connecticut 480 4,658,527 Delaware 190 1,846,110 District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
Arizona 602 5,842,366 Arkansas 796 7,719,839 California 3,732 36,189,241 Colorado 455 4,413,501 Connecticut 480 4,658,527 Delaware 190 1,846,110 District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
Arkansas 796 7,719,839 California 3,732 36,189,241 Colorado 455 4,413,501 Connecticut 480 4,658,527 Delaware 190 1,846,110 District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
California 3,732 36,189,241 Colorado 455 4,413,501 Connecticut 480 4,658,527 Delaware 190 1,846,110 District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
Colorado 455 4,413,501 Connecticut 480 4,658,527 Delaware 190 1,846,110 District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
Connecticut 480 4,658,527 Delaware 190 1,846,110 District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
Delaware 190 1,846,110 District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
District of Columbia 252 2,439,881 Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
Florida 2,588 25,101,391 Georgia 970 9,403,428	
Georgia 970 9,403,428	
Hawaii 190 1,846,110	
Idaho 215 2,088,886	
Illinois 1,702 16,501,837	
Indiana 1,144 11,096,714	
Iowa 560 5,431,565	
Kansas 434 4,207,191	
Kentucky 829 8,042,449	
Louisiana 682 6,617,903	
Maine 270 2,620,583	
Maryland 602 5,836,153	
Massachusetts 953 9,242,122	
Michigan 1,405 13,627,287	
Minnesota 1,054 10,225,864	
Mississippi 539 5,231,467	
Missouri 1,057 10,252,230	
Montana 273 2,647,024	
Nebraska 325 3,154,731	
Nevada 218 2,117,980	
New Hampshire 215 2,088,886	
New Jersey 1,235 2,000,800	
New Mexico 271 2,629,447	
North Carolina 1,166 11,310,070	
North Dakota 280 2,713,168	
Ohio 1,907 18,491,291	
Oklahoma 699 6,780,193	
Oregon 643 6,233,696	
Pennsylvania 2,360 22,883,654	
Rhode island 233 2,259,181	
South Carolina 595 5,773,570	
South Dakota 324 3,139,805	
Tennessee 893 8,656,833	
Texas 2,426 23,527,965	
Utah 299 2,895,612	
Vermont 240 2,331,460	
Virginia 928 9,002,324	
Washington 670 6,492,890	
West Virginia 491 4,764,297	
Wisconsin 1,125 10,911,619	
Wyoming 215 2,088,886	

⁶ What is at Risk for States if the Senior Community Service Employment Program is Eliminated? The Council of State Governments. April 4, 2017. http://knowledgecenter.csg.org/kc/content/what-risk-states-if-senior-community-service-employment-program-eliminated?utm_source=The+Current+State+%2399&utm_campaign=The+Current+State+%2399&utm_medium=email_eliminated?